arXiv:1407.1486v2 [math.NA] 17 Sep 2014

Polynomial and exponential stability of θ-EM approximations to a class of stochastic differential equations

Yunjiao Hu[a], Guangqiang Lan[a]*, Chong Zhang[a]

[a]School of Science, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, China

Abstract

Both the mean square polynomial stability and exponential stability of θ Euler-Maruyama approximation solutions of stochastic differential equations will be investigated for each $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ by using an auxiliary function F (see the following definition (2.3)). Sufficient conditions are obtained to ensure the polynomial and exponential stability of the numerical approximations. The results in Liu et al [12] will be improved and generalized to more general cases. Several examples and non stability results are presented to support our conclusions.

MSC 2010: 60H10, 65C30.

Key words: stochastic differential equation, θ Euler-Maruyama approximation, polynomial stability, exponential stability.

1 Introduction

Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$ endowed with a complete filtration $(\mathscr{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$. Let $d, m \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrarily fixed. We consider the following stochastic differential equations (SDEs)

$$dX_t = f(X_t, t)dt + g(X_t, t)dB_t,\ X_0 = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1.1}$$

where the initial $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, (B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is an m-dimensional standard $\mathscr{F}_t$-Brownian motion, $f : (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto f(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $g : (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \sigma(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^m$ are both Borel measurable functions.

The corresponding θ Euler-Maruyama (θ-EM) approximation (or the so called stochastic theta method) of the above SDE is

$$X_{k+1} = X_k + [(1-\theta)f(X_k, k\Delta t) + \theta f(X_{k+1}, (k+1)\Delta t)]\Delta t + g(X_k, k\Delta t)\Delta B_k, \tag{1.2}$$

*Corresponding author. Email: langq@mail.buct.edu.cn. Supported by China Scholarship Council, National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC11026142) and Beijing Higher Education Young Elite Teacher Project (YETP0516).

where $X_0 := x_0$, Δt is a constant step size, $\theta \in [0,1]$ is a fixed parameter, $\Delta B_k := B((k+1)\Delta t) - B(k\Delta t)$ is the increment of Brownian motion. Note that θ-EM includes the classical EM method ($\theta = 0$), the backward EM method ($\theta = 1$) and the so-called trapezoidal method ($\theta = \frac{1}{2}$).

Throughout of this paper, we simply assume that the coefficients f and g satisfy the following local Lipschitz condition:

For every integer $r \geq 1$ and any $t \geq 0$, there exists a positive constant $\bar{K}_{r,t}$ such that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\max\{|x|, |y|\} \leq r$,

$$\max\{|f(x,t) - f(y,t)|, |g(x,t) - g(y,t)|\} \leq \bar{K}_{r,t}|x - y|. \tag{1.3}$$

Condition (1.3) could make sure that equation (1.1) has a unique solution, which is denoted by $X_t(x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, (this condition could be weakened to more generalized condition, see e.g. [9, 10]).

Stability theory is one of the central problems in numerical analysis. The stability concepts of numerical approximation for SDEs mainly include moment stability (M-stability) and almost sure stability (trajectory stability). Results concerned with different kinds of stability analysis for numerical methods can be found in many literatures.

For example, Baker and Buckwar [1] dealt with the p-th moment exponential stability of stochastic delay differential equations when the coefficients are both globally Lipschitz continuous, Higham [4, 5] considered the scalar linear case and Higham et al. [6] for one sided Lipschitz and the linear growth condition. Other results concerned with moment stability can be found in the Mao's monograph [13], Higham et al [7], Zong et al [22], Pang et al [16], Szpruch [19] (for the so called V-stability) and references therein.

For the almost sure stability of numerical approximation for SDEs, by Borel-Cantelli lemma and Chebyshev inequality, recently, Wu et al [20] investgated the almost sure exponential stability of the stochastic theta method by the continuous and discrete semi martingale convergence theorems (see Rodkina and Schurz [17] for details), Chen and Wu [2] and Mao and Szpruch [14] also used the same method to prove the almost sure stability of the numerical approximations. However, [2, 7, 20] only dealt with the case that the coefficient of the diffusion part is at most linear growth, that is, there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$|g(x)| \leq K|x|, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{1.4}$$

This condition excludes the case when the coefficient g is super-linearly growing (that is, $g(x) = C|x|^\gamma,\ \gamma > 1$). In Mao and Szpruch [14], authors examined the globally almost sure asymptotic stability of the θ-EM scheme (4.2), they presented a rather weak sufficient condition to ensure that the θ-EM solution is almost surely stable when $\frac{1}{2} < \theta \leq 1$, but they didn't give the convergence rate of the solution to zero explicitly. In [22], the authors studied the mean square exponential stability of θ-EM scheme systematically, they proved that if $0 \leq \theta < \frac{1}{2}$, the θ-EM scheme preserves mean square exponential stability under the linear growth condition for both the drift term and the diffusion term; if $\frac{1}{2} < \theta \leq 1$, the θ-EM preserves mean square exponential stability without the linear growth condition for the drift term (the linear growth condition for the diffusion term is still necessary), exponential stability for the case $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ is not studied there.

However, to the best of our knowledge, there are few results devoted to the exponential

stability of the numerical solutions when the coefficient of the diffusion term does not satisfy the linear growth condition, which is one of the main motivations of this work.

Recently, in [12], Liu et al examined the polynomial stability of numerical solutions of SDEs (1.1). They considered the polynomial stability of both the classical and backward Euler-Maruyama approximation. The condition on diffusion coefficient g is bounded with respect to variable x. This condition excludes the case that g is unbounded with respect to variable x. It immediately raises the question of whether we can relax this condition. This is the other main motivation of this work.

To study the polynomial stability of equation (1.2), we consider the following condition:

$$2\langle x, f(x,t)\rangle + |g(x,t)|^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-K_1} - K_1(1+t)^{-1}|x|^2, \forall t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1.5}$$

where K_1, C are positive constants, and $K_1 > 1$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $|\cdot|$ denotes the both the Euclidean vector norm and the Hilbert-Schmidt matrix norm.

To study the exponential stability of equation (1.2), we need stronger condition on the coefficients,

$$2\langle x, f(x,t)\rangle + |g(x,t)|^2 \leq -C|x|^2, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1.6}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant.

Define an operator L by

$$\begin{aligned} LV(x,t) := & \frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(x,t) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} f^i(x,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}V(x,t) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{d}\sum_{k=1}^{m} g^{ik}(x,t)g^{jk}(x,t)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}V(x,t), \end{aligned}$$

where $V(x,t) : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ has continuous second-order partial derivatives in x and first-order partial derivatives in t.

It is clear that under condition (1.3) and (1.5) (or (1.6)), there exists a unique global solution of equation (1.1). By taking $V(x,t) = (1+t)^m|x|^2$, or $V(x,t) = |x|^2$, respectively, it is easy to see that under condition (1.5) the true solution $X_t(x_0)$ of equation (1.1) is mean square polynomially stable (see Liu and Chen [11] Theorem 1.1) or mean square exponentially stable under condition (1.6) (the proof is the same as Higham et al, see [7] Appendix A). So a natural question raises: Whether θ-EM method can reproduce the polynomial and exponential stability of the solution of (1.1).

If $\frac{1}{2} < \theta \leq 1$, we will study the polynomial stability and exponential stability of θ-EM scheme (1.2) under conditions (1.5) and (1.6) respectively. For the exponential stability, we first investigate the mean square exponential stability, then we derive the almost sure exponential stability by Borel-Cantelli lemma.

If $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{1}{2}$, besides condition (1.5) (respectively, (1.6)), linear growth condition for the drift term is also needed to ensure the corresponding stability, that is, there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$|f(x,t)| \leq K(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}|x| \tag{1.7}$$

for polynomial stability case and

$$|f(x,t)| \leq K|x|, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \tag{1.8}$$

for exponential stability case. Notice that condition (1.7) is strictly weaker than condition (2.4) in [12].

The main feature of this paper is that we consider conditions in which both diffusion and drift coefficients are involved, which give weaker sufficient conditions than known ones, while in most of the preceding studies, such conditions have been provided as separate ones for diffusion coefficients and drift coefficients.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some lemmas which will be used in the following sections to prove the stability results. In Section 3 we study the polynomial stability of the θ-EM scheme. Our method hinges on various properties of the gamma function and the ratios of gamma functions. We show that when $\frac{1}{2} < \theta \leq 1$, the polynomial stability of the θ-EM scheme holds under condition (1.5) plus one sided Lipschitz condition on f; when $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{1}{2}$, the linear growth condition for the drift term f is also needed. In Section 4, we investigate the exponential stability of the θ-EM scheme for all $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. Finally, we give in Section 5 some non stability results and counter examples to support our conclusions.

2 Preliminary

To ensure that the semi-implicit θ-EM scheme is well defined, we need the first two lemmas.The first lemma gives the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the equation $F(x) = b$. We can prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the θ-EM scheme based on this lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *Let F be the vector field on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and consider the equation*

$$F(x) = b \tag{2.1}$$

for a given $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$. If F is monotone, that is,

$$\langle x - y, F(x) - F(y) \rangle > 0$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq y$, and F is continuous and coercive, that is,

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{\langle x, F(x) \rangle}{|x|} = \infty,$$

then for every $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, equation (2.1) has a unique solution $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

This lemma follows directly from Theorem 26.A in [21].

Consider the following one sided Lipschitz condition on f: There exists $L > 0$ such that

$$\langle x - y, f(x,t) - f(y,t) \rangle \leq L|x - y|^2. \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.2 *Define*

$$F(x,t) := x - \theta \Delta t f(x,t), \forall t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{2.3}$$

Assume conditions (1.5) and (2.2) and Δt is small enough such that $\Delta t < \frac{1}{\theta L}$. Then for any $t > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there is a unique solution of equation $F(x,t) = b$.

By this Lemma, we know that the θ-EM scheme is well defined under conditions (1.5) and (2.2) for Δt small enough.

The proof of Lemma 2.2 is the same as that of Lemma 3.4 in [12] and Lemma 3.3 in [15], just notice that condition (2.2) implies $\langle x-y, F(x,t)-F(y,t)\rangle > 0$, and (1.5) (or (1.6)) implies $\langle x, F(x,t)\rangle \to \infty$ as $x \to \infty$. Notice also that our condition (2.2) is weaker than (2.3) in [12].

We also need the following two lemmas to study the polynomial stability of the θ-EM scheme.

Lemma 2.3 *Given $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$, if there exists a δ such that $0 < \delta < \alpha^{-1}$, then*

$$\prod_{i=a}^{b}\Big(1-\frac{\alpha\delta}{1+(i+\beta)\delta}\Big) = \frac{\Gamma(b+1+\delta^{-1}+\beta-\alpha)}{\Gamma(b+1+\delta^{-1}+\beta)} \times \frac{\Gamma(a+\delta^{-1}+\beta)}{\Gamma(a+\delta^{-1}+\beta-\alpha)},$$

where $0 \leq a \leq b$, $\Gamma(x) := \int_0^\infty y^{x-1}e^{-y}dy$.

Lemma 2.4 *For any $x > 0$, if $0 < \eta < 1$, then*

$$\frac{\Gamma(x+\eta)}{\Gamma(x)} < x^\eta,$$

and if $\eta > 1$, then

$$\frac{\Gamma(x+\eta)}{\Gamma(x)} > x^\eta.$$

The proof of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 could be found in [12].

3 Polynomial stability of θ-EM solution (1.2)

We are now in the position to give the polynomial stability of θ-EM solution (1.2). First, we consider the case $\frac{1}{2} < \theta \leq 1$. We have the following

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that conditions (1.5) and (2.2) hold. If $\frac{1}{2} < \theta \leq 1$, then for any $0 < \varepsilon < K_1 - 1$, we can choose Δt small enough such that the θ-EM solution satisfies*

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\log \mathbb{E}|X_k|^2}{\log k\Delta t} \leq -(K_1 - 1 - \varepsilon) \tag{3.1}$$

for any initial value $X_0 = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof We first prove that condition (1.5) implies that for Δt small enough,

$$2\langle x, f(x,t)\rangle + |g(x,t)|^2 + (1-2\theta)\Delta t|f(x,t)|^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-K_1} - (K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}|F(x,t)|^2 \tag{3.2}$$

holds for $\forall t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Here and in the following, F is defined by (2.3).

In fact, we only need to show that

$$(2\theta-1)\Delta t|f(x,t)|^2-(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}|F(x,t)|^2\geq -K_1(1+t)^{-1}|x|^2.$$

On the other hand, by the definition of $F(x,t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(2\theta-1)\Delta t|f(x,t)|^2-(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}|F(x,t)|^2\\
&=(2\theta-1)\Delta t|f(x,t)|^2-(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}[|x|^2-2\theta\Delta t\langle x,f(x,t)\rangle+\theta^2\Delta t^2|f(x,t)|^2]\\
&=[(2\theta-1)\Delta t-(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}\theta^2\Delta t^2]|f(x,t)|^2\\
&\quad+2(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}\theta\Delta t\langle x,f(x,t)\rangle-(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}|x|^2\\
&=a|f(x,t)+bx|^2-(ab^2+(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1})|x|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$a:=(2\theta-1)\Delta t-(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}\theta^2\Delta t^2,\quad b:=\frac{(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}\theta\Delta t}{a}.$$

Since

$$a\geq(2\theta-1)\Delta t-(K_1-\varepsilon)\theta^2\Delta t^2=\Delta t(2\theta-1-(K_1-\varepsilon)\theta^2\Delta t),$$

we can choose Δt small enough (for example $\Delta t\leq\min\{\frac{1}{\theta L},\frac{(2\theta-1)(\varepsilon\wedge 1)}{K_1(K_1-\varepsilon)\theta^2}\}$) such that $a\geq 0$ and $ab^2\leq\frac{\varepsilon}{1+t}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2\theta-1)\Delta t|f(x,t)|^2-(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}|F(x,t)|^2&\geq-(\frac{b^2}{a}+(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1})|x|^2\\
&\geq-K_1(1+t)^{-1}|x|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

So we complete the proof of inequality (3.2).

Now by the definition of $F(x,t)$, it follows that

$$F(X_{k+1},(k+1)\Delta t)=F(X_k,k\Delta t)+f(X_k,k\Delta t)\Delta t+g(X_k,k\Delta t)\Delta B_k.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(X_{k+1},(k+1)\Delta t)|^2=&[2\langle X_k,f(X_k,k\Delta t)\rangle+|g(X_k,k\Delta t)|^2+(1-2\theta)|f(X_k,k\Delta t)|^2\Delta t]\Delta t\\
&+|F(X_k,k\Delta t)|^2+M_k,
\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_k:=&|g(X_k,k\Delta t)\Delta B_k|^2-|g(X_k,k\Delta t)|^2\Delta t+2\langle F(X_k,k\Delta t),g(X_k,k\Delta t)\Delta B_k\rangle\\
&+2\langle f(X_k,k\Delta t)\Delta t,g(X_k,k\Delta t)\Delta B_k\rangle.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

Notice that

$$\mathbb{E}(M_k|\mathscr{F}_{k\Delta t})=0.$$

Then by condition (1.5) and inequality (3.2), we have

$$\mathbb{E}(|F(X_{k+1},(k+1)\Delta t)|^2|\mathscr{F}_{k\Delta t})\leq(1-\frac{(K_1-\varepsilon)\Delta t}{1+k\Delta t})|F(X_k,k\Delta t)|^2+\frac{C\Delta t}{(1+k\Delta t)^{K_1-\varepsilon}}.$$

We can get by iteration that

$$\mathbb{E}(|F(X_k,k\Delta t)|^2) \le \Big(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(1-\frac{(K_1-\varepsilon)\Delta t}{1+k\Delta t})\Big)|F(x_0,0)|^2 + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1}\Big(\prod_{i=r+1}^{k-1}(1-\frac{(K_1-\varepsilon)\Delta t}{1+i\Delta t})\Big)\frac{C\Delta t}{(1+r\Delta t)^{K_1-\varepsilon}}.$$

Then by Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(|F(X_k,k\Delta t)|^2) \le{}& \frac{\Gamma(k+\frac{1}{\Delta t}-(K_1-\varepsilon))\Gamma(\frac{1}{\Delta t})}{\Gamma(k+\frac{1}{\Delta t})\Gamma(\frac{1}{\Delta t}-(K_1-\varepsilon))}|F(x_0,0)|^2 \\ &+ C\Delta t\sum_{r=0}^{k-1}\frac{\Gamma(k+\frac{1}{\Delta t}-(K_1-\varepsilon))\Gamma(r+1+\frac{1}{\Delta t})}{\Gamma(k+\frac{1}{\Delta t})\Gamma(r+1+\frac{1}{\Delta t}-(K_1-\varepsilon))}(1+r\Delta t)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

On the other hand, since $K_1-\varepsilon>1$, by Lemma 2.4 or [12] one can see that

$$\frac{\Gamma(k+\frac{1}{\Delta t}-(K_1-\varepsilon))\Gamma(\frac{1}{\Delta t})}{\Gamma(k+\frac{1}{\Delta t})\Gamma(\frac{1}{\Delta t}-(K_1-\varepsilon))} \le ((k-(K_1-\varepsilon))\Delta t+1)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)} \tag{3.6}$$

and that

$$\frac{\Gamma(k+\frac{1}{\Delta t}-(K_1-\varepsilon))\Gamma(r+1+\frac{1}{\Delta t})}{\Gamma(k+\frac{1}{\Delta t})\Gamma(r+1+\frac{1}{\Delta t}-(K_1-\varepsilon))} \le ((k-(K_1-\varepsilon))\Delta t+1)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)}((r+1)\Delta t+1)^{K_1-\varepsilon}. \tag{3.7}$$

Substituting (3.6) and (3.7) into inequality (3.5) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(|F(X_k,k\Delta t)|^2) &\le ((k-(K_1-\varepsilon))\Delta t+1)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)}|F(x_0,0)|^2 \\ &\quad + C\Delta t\sum_{r=0}^{k-1}((k-(K_1-\varepsilon))\Delta t+1)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)}\frac{((r+1)\Delta t+1)^{K_1-\varepsilon}}{(1+r\Delta t)^{K_1-\varepsilon}} \\ &\le 2^{K_1-\varepsilon}(k\Delta t+1)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)}\Big[|F(x_0,0)|^2 + C\Delta t\sum_{r=0}^{k-1}\Big(\frac{(r+1)\Delta t+1}{1+r\Delta t}\Big)^{K_1-\varepsilon}\Big] \\ &\le 2^{K_1-\varepsilon}(k\Delta t+1)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)}[|F(x_0,0)|^2 + C\cdot 2^{K_1-\varepsilon}k\Delta t] \\ &\le 2^{K_1-\varepsilon}(|F(x_0,0)|^2 + C\cdot 2^{K_1-\varepsilon})(k\Delta t+1)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)+1}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

We have used the fact that $(k-(K_1-\varepsilon))\Delta t+1 \ge \frac{1}{2}(k\Delta t+1)$ for small Δt in second inequality and that $((r+1)\Delta t+1)/(1+r\Delta t)\le 2$ in the third inequality.

Now by condition (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned}|F(x,t)|^2 &= |x|^2 - 2\theta\Delta t\langle x, f(x,t)\rangle + \theta^2\Delta t^2|f(x,t)|^2 \\ &\ge |x|^2 - C(1+t)^{-K_1}\theta\Delta t + K_1(1+t)^{-1}|x|^2\theta\Delta t + \theta^2\Delta t^2|f(x,t)|^2 \\ &\ge |x|^2 - C(1+t)^{-K_1}\theta\Delta t \ge |x|^2 - C(1+t)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)}\theta\Delta t.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for small enough Δt,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(|X_k|^2) &\le \mathbb{E}(|F(X_k,k\Delta t)|^2) + C(1+k\Delta t)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)}\theta\Delta t \\ &\le 2^{K_1-\varepsilon}(|F(x_0,0)|^2 + C\cdot 2^{K_1-\varepsilon})(k\Delta t+1)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)+1} + C(1+k\Delta t)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)}\theta\Delta t \\ &\le 2^{K_1-\varepsilon}(|F(x_0,0)|^2 + C\cdot 2^{K_1-\varepsilon} + C\theta)(k\Delta t+1)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Namely, the θ-EM solution of (1.1) is mean square polynomial stable with rate no greater than $-(K_1-1-\varepsilon)$ when $\frac{1}{2}<\theta\le 1$ and Δt is small enough.

We complete the proof. □

Remark 3.2 *Notice that we can not let $\varepsilon\to 0$ in (3.1) since Δt depends on ε. Moreover, our condition (1.5) could cover conditions (2.5) and (2.6) (even though not entirely. They need $K_1>0.5$, but our $K_1>1$) for the polynomial stability of backward EM approximation of SDE (1.1).*

Now let us consider the case $0\le\theta\le\frac{1}{2}$. We have

Theorem 3.3 *Assume that conditions (1.5), (1.7) and (2.2) hold. If $0\le\theta\le\frac{1}{2}$, then for any $0<\varepsilon<K_1-1$, we can choose Δt small enough such that the θ-EM solution satisfies*

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty}\frac{\log\mathbb{E}|X_k|^2}{\log k\Delta t}\le -(K_1-1-\varepsilon) \tag{3.9}$$

for any initial value $X_0=x_0\in\mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof Notice that in this case

$$\begin{aligned}
&(2\theta-1)\Delta t|f(x,t)|^2-(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}|F(x,t)|^2\\
&=(2\theta-1)\Delta t|f(x,t)|^2-(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}[|x|^2-2\theta\Delta t\langle x,f(x,t)\rangle+\theta^2\Delta t^2|f(x,t)|^2]\\
&=[(2\theta-1)\Delta t-(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}\theta^2\Delta t^2]|f(x,t)|^2\\
&\quad+2(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}\theta\Delta t\langle x,f(x,t)\rangle-(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}|x|^2\\
&\ge aK^2(1+t)^{-1}|x|^2-2K(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\theta\Delta t|x|^2-(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}|x|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$a:=(2\theta-1)\Delta t-(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}\theta^2\Delta t^2\le 0.$$

Thus, we can choose Δt small enough such that

$$aK^2(1+t)^{-1}-2KK_1(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\theta\Delta t-(K_1-\varepsilon)(1+t)^{-1}\ge -K_1(1+t)^{-1}.$$

Therefore, by condition (1.5), we have

$$\mathbb{E}(|F(X_{k+1},(k+1)\Delta t)|^2|\mathscr{F}_{k\Delta t})\le(1-\frac{(K_1-\varepsilon)\Delta t}{1+k\Delta t})|F(X_k,k\Delta t)|^2+\frac{C\Delta t}{(1+k\Delta t)^{K_1-\varepsilon}}.$$

Then by the same argumentation as Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(x,t)|^2&=|x|^2-2\theta\Delta t\langle x,f(x,t)\rangle+\theta^2\Delta t^2|f(x,t)|^2\\
&\ge|x|^2-C(1+t)^{-K_1}\theta\Delta t+K_1(1+t)^{-1}|x|^2\theta\Delta t\\
&\ge|x|^2-C(1+t)^{-K_1}\theta\Delta t\ge|x|^2-C(1+t)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)}\theta\Delta t.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for small enough Δt, we can derive in the same way as in proof of Theorem 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(|X_k|^2)&\le\mathbb{E}(|F(X_k,k\Delta t)|^2)+C(1+k\Delta t)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)}\theta\Delta t\\
&\le 2^{K_1-\varepsilon}(|F(x_0,0)|^2+C\cdot 2^{K_1-\varepsilon})(k\Delta t+1)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)+1}+C(1+k\Delta t)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)}\theta\Delta t\\
&\le 2^{K_1-\varepsilon}(|F(x_0,0)|^2+C\cdot 2^{K_1-\varepsilon}+C\theta)(k\Delta t+1)^{-(K_1-\varepsilon)+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Namely, the θ-EM solution of (1.1) is mean square polynomial stable with rate no greater than $-(K_1 - 1 - \varepsilon)$ when $0 \le \theta \le \frac{1}{2}$ and Δt is small enough.

We complete the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.4 *In [12] Condition 2.3, authors gave the sufficient conditions on coefficients f and g separately for the polynomial stability of the classical EM scheme, their conditions (2.5) and (2.6) hold for $K_1 > 1$ and $C > 0$, then it is easy to see that our condition (1.5) holds automatically for the same K_1 and C, and our condition (1.7) is strictly weaker than (2.4). Therefore, we have improved Liu et al and generalized it to $0 \le \theta \le \frac{1}{2}$.*

4 Exponential stability of θ-EM solution (1.2)

Now let us consider the exponential stability of θ-EM solution of (1.1). When SDE (1.1) goes back to time homogeneous case, that is,

$$dX_t = f(X_t)dt + g(X_t)dB_t,\ X_0 = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, a.s. \tag{4.1}$$

The corresponding θ-EM approximation becomes to

$$X_{k+1} = X_k + [(1-\theta)f(X_k) + \theta f(X_{k+1})]\Delta t + g(X_k)\Delta B_k. \tag{4.2}$$

In [14], Mao and Szpruch gave a sufficient condition ensuring that the almost sure stability of θ-EM solution of (4.1) holds in the case that $\frac{1}{2} < \theta \le 1$. However they didn't reveal the rate of convergence. Their method of the proof is mainly based on the discrete semi martingale convergence theorem. We will study the exponential stability systematically for $0 \le \theta \le 1$ for the time inhomogeneous case. We first prove the mean square exponential stability, then we prove the almost sure stability by Borel-Cantelli lemma.

Theorem 4.1 *Assume that conditions (1.6) and (2.2) hold. Then for any $\frac{1}{2} < \theta \le 1$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we can choose Δt small enough such that the θ-EM solution satisfies*

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\log \mathbb{E}|X_k|^2}{k\Delta t} \le -C(1-\varepsilon) \tag{4.3}$$

for any initial value $X_0 = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\log |X_k|}{k\Delta t} \le -\frac{C(1-\varepsilon)}{2} \quad a.s. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof Define $F(x,t)$ as in Lemma 2.2. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(2\theta-1)\Delta t|f(x,t)|^2 - C(1-\varepsilon)|F(x,t)|^2 \\
&= (2\theta-1)\Delta t|f(x,t)|^2 - C(1-\varepsilon)[|x|^2 - 2\theta\Delta t\langle x, f(x,t)\rangle + \theta^2\Delta t^2|f(x,t)|^2] \\
&= [(2\theta-1)\Delta t - C\theta^2\Delta t^2(1-\varepsilon)]|f(x,t)|^2 + 2C\theta\Delta t(1-\varepsilon)\langle x, f(x,t)\rangle - C(1-\varepsilon)|x|^2 \\
&= a|f(x,t) + bx|^2 - (ab^2 + C(1-\varepsilon))|x|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$a := (2\theta - 1)\Delta t - C\theta^2\Delta t^2(1-\varepsilon), \quad b := \frac{C\theta\Delta t(1-\varepsilon)}{a}.$$

We can choose Δt small enough (for example $\Delta t \le \min\{\frac{1}{\theta L}, \frac{\varepsilon(2\theta-1)}{C(1-\varepsilon)\theta^2}\}$) such that $a \ge 0$ and $ab^2 \le C\varepsilon$, and therefore

$$(2\theta-1)\Delta t|f(x,t)|^2 - C(1-\varepsilon)|F(x,t)|^2 \ge -C|x|^2.$$

Then by condition (1.6), we can prove that

$$2\langle x, f(x,t)\rangle + |g(x,t)|^2 + (1-2\theta)\Delta t|f(x,t)|^2 \le -C(1-\varepsilon)|F(x,t)|^2 \tag{4.5}$$

holds for $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Therefore, by (3.3), for small enough Δt ($\Delta t \le \frac{1}{\theta L} \wedge \frac{\varepsilon(2\theta-1)}{C\theta^2(1-\varepsilon)} \wedge \frac{1}{C(1-\varepsilon)}$),

$$\mathbb{E}(|F(X_{k+1},(k+1)\Delta t)|^2) \le \mathbb{E}(|F(X_k,k\Delta t)|^2)(1-C(1-\varepsilon)\Delta t).$$

So we have

$$\mathbb{E}(|X_k|^2) \le \mathbb{E}(|F(X_k,k\Delta t)|^2) \le |F(x_0,0)|^2(1-C(1-\varepsilon)\Delta t)^k. \tag{4.6}$$

or

$$\mathbb{E}(|X_k|^2) \le |F(x_0,0)|^2 e^{-C(1-\varepsilon)k\Delta t}, \forall k \ge 1. \tag{4.7}$$

The first inequality of (4.6) holds because of condition (1.6). Thus, the θ-EM solution of (4.1) is mean square exponential stable when $\frac{1}{2} < \theta \le 1$ and Δt is small enough.

On the other hand, by Chebyshev inequality, inequality (4.7) implies that

$$P(|X_k|^2 > k^2 e^{-kC(1-\varepsilon)\Delta t}) \le \frac{|F(x_0,0)|^2}{k^2}, \forall k \ge 1.$$

Then by Borel-Cantelli lemma, we see that for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$

$$|X_k|^2 \le k^2 e^{-kC(1-\varepsilon)\Delta t} \tag{4.8}$$

holds for all but finitely many k. Thus, there exists a $k_0(\omega)$, for all $\omega \in \Omega$ excluding a P-null set, for which (4.8) holds whenever $k \ge k_0$.

Therefore, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\frac{1}{k\Delta t}\log|X_k| \le -\frac{C(1-\varepsilon)}{2} + \frac{\log k}{k\Delta t} \tag{4.9}$$

whenever $k \ge k_0$. Letting $k \to \infty$ we obtain (4.4).

The proof is then complete. □

If $0 \le \theta \le \frac{1}{2}$, then we have the following

Theorem 4.2 *Assume that conditions (1.6), (1.8) and (2.2) hold. Then for any* $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, *we can choose* Δt *small enough such that the* θ*-EM solution satisfies*

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\log \mathbb{E}|X_k|^2}{k\Delta t} \le -C(1-\varepsilon) \tag{4.10}$$

for any initial value $X_0 = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *and*

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\log |X_k|}{k\Delta t} \le -\frac{C(1-\varepsilon)}{2} \quad a.s. \tag{4.11}$$

Proof By the same argument as Theorem 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(2\theta-1)\Delta t|f(x,t)|^2 - C(1-\varepsilon)|F(x,t)|^2 \\
&= (2\theta-1)\Delta t|f(x,t)|^2 - C(1-\varepsilon)[|x|^2 - 2\theta\Delta t\langle x, f(x,t)\rangle + \theta^2\Delta t^2|f(x,t)|^2] \\
&= [(2\theta-1)\Delta t - C\theta^2\Delta t^2(1-\varepsilon)]|f(x,t)|^2 + 2C\theta\Delta t(1-\varepsilon)\langle x, f(x,t)\rangle - C(1-\varepsilon)|x|^2 \\
&\ge aK^2|x|^2 - 2KC\theta\Delta t(1-\varepsilon)|x|^2 - C(1-\varepsilon)|x|^2
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$a := (2\theta-1)\Delta t - C\theta^2\Delta t^2(1-\varepsilon) \le 0.$$

We have used condition (1.7) in the last inequality.

We can choose Δt small enough such that

$$\Delta t \le \frac{1}{\theta L} \wedge \frac{K(1-2\theta) + 2C\theta(1-\varepsilon)}{KC(1-\varepsilon)\theta^2} \wedge \frac{C\varepsilon}{2(K^2(1-2\theta) + 2KC\theta(1-\varepsilon))},$$

and thus

$$aK^2 - 2KC\theta\Delta t(1-\varepsilon) \ge -C\varepsilon.$$

Then we have

$$(2\theta-1)\Delta t|f(x,t)|^2 - C(1-\varepsilon)|F(x,t)|^2 \ge -C|x|^2.$$

Then by condition (1.6), we can prove that

$$2\langle x, f(x,t)\rangle + |g(x,t)|^2 + (1-2\theta)\Delta t|f(x,t)|^2 \le -C(1-\varepsilon)|F(x,t)|^2 \tag{4.12}$$

holds for $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Therefore, for small enough Δt,

$$\mathbb{E}(|F(X_{k+1}, (k+1)\Delta t)|^2) \le \mathbb{E}(|F(X_k, k\Delta t)|^2)(1 - C(1-\varepsilon)\Delta t).$$

So we have

$$\mathbb{E}(|X_k|^2) \le \mathbb{E}(|F(X_k, k\Delta t)|^2) \le |F(x_0,0)|^2(1 - C(1-\varepsilon)\Delta t)^k. \tag{4.13}$$

or

$$\mathbb{E}(|X_k|^2) \le |F(x_0,0)|^2 e^{-C(1-\varepsilon)k\Delta t}, \forall k \ge 1. \tag{4.14}$$

The first inequality of (4.6) holds because of condition (1.6). Thus, the θ-EM solution of (4.1) is mean square exponential stable when $\frac{1}{2} < \theta \le 1$ and Δt is small enough.

From (4.7) we can show the almost sure stability assertion (4.11) in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

The proof is complete. □

5 Non stability results and counter examples

In this section we will give some non stability results for the classical EM scheme and counter examples to support our conclusions. We show that there are cases that our assertion works while the assertions in the literature do not work.

Let us consider the following 1-dimensional stochastic differential equations:

$$dX_t = (aX_t + b|X_t|^{q-1}X_t)dt + c|X_t|^{\gamma}dB_t.\ X_0 = x_0(\neq 0) \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.1}$$

When $b \leq 0, q > 0$ and $\gamma \geq \frac{1}{2}$, by Gyöngy and Krylov [3] Corollary 2.7 (see also [8, 9, 10, 18]), there is a unique global solution of equation (5.1). Here $|x|^{q-1}x := 0$ if $x = 0$. For this equation, if $q = 2\gamma - 1, a < 0$ and $2b + c^2 \leq 0$, then condition (1.6) is automatically satisfied. Therefore, the true solution of SDE (5.1) is mean square exponentially stable.

Now let us consider the corresponding Euler-Maruyama approximation:

$$X_{k+1} = X_k + (aX_k + b|X_k|^{q-1}X_k)\Delta t + c|X_k|^{\gamma}\Delta B_k. \tag{5.2}$$

For the classical EM approximation X_k, we have the following

Lemma 5.1 *Suppose $q > 1, q > \gamma$. If $\Delta t > 0$ is small enough, and*

$$|X_1| \geq \frac{2^{\frac{q+2}{q-1}}}{(|b|\Delta t)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}},$$

then for any $K \geq 1$, there exists a positive number α such that

$$P(|X_k| \geq \frac{2^{k+\frac{3}{q-1}}}{(|b|\Delta t)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}, \forall 1 \leq k \leq K) \geq \exp(-4e^{-\alpha/\sqrt{\Delta t}}) > 0,$$

where $\alpha := \frac{2^{\frac{(q-\gamma)(q+2)}{q-1}}}{2|c|}(1 \wedge ((q-\gamma)\log 2))$.

That is, no matter what values a, b, c take, by taking the initial value and the step size suitably, the numerical approximation solution of SDE (5.1) is divergent with a positive probability when $q > 1, q > \gamma$.

Proof of Lemma 5.1: According to (5.2),

$$\begin{aligned} |X_{k+1}| &= |X_k|\Big|b|X_k|^{q-1}\Delta t + c \cdot \mathrm{sgn}(X_k)|X_k|^{\gamma-1}\Delta B_k + 1 + a\Delta t\Big| \\ &\geq |X_k|\Big||b||X_k|^{q-1}\Delta t - |c||X_k|^{\gamma-1}|\Delta B_k| - 1 - |a|\Delta t\Big|. \end{aligned}$$

Take Δt small enough such that $|a|\Delta t \leq 1$. If $|X_k| \geq \frac{2^{k+\frac{3}{q-1}}}{(|b|\Delta t)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}$ and $|\Delta B_k| \leq \frac{1}{2|c|}2^{(k+\frac{3}{q-1})(q-\gamma)}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |X_{k+1}| &\geq \frac{2^{k+\frac{3}{q-1}}}{(|b|\Delta t)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}(2^{k(q-1)+3}(1-\frac{1}{2}) - 2) \\ &= \frac{2^{k+1+\frac{3}{q-1}}}{(|b|\Delta t)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, given that $|X_1| \geq \frac{2^{\frac{q+2}{q-1}}}{(|b|\Delta t)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}$, the event that $\{|X_k| \geq \frac{2^{k+\frac{3}{q-1}}}{(|b|\Delta t)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}, \forall 1 \leq k \leq K\}$ contains the event that $\{|\Delta B_k| \leq \frac{1}{2|c|}2^{(k+\frac{3}{q-1})(q-\gamma)}, \forall 1 \leq k \leq K\}$. So

$$P(|X_k| \geq \frac{2^{k+\frac{3}{q-1}}}{(|b|\Delta t)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}, \forall 1 \leq k \leq K) \geq \prod_{k=1}^{K} P(|\Delta B_k| \leq \frac{1}{2|c|}2^{(k+\frac{3}{q-1})(q-\gamma)}, \forall 1 \leq k \leq K).$$

We have used the fact that $\{\Delta B_k\}$ are independent in the above inequality. But

$$\begin{aligned} P(|\Delta B_k| \geq \frac{1}{2|c|}2^{(k+\frac{3}{q-1})(q-\gamma)}) &= P(\frac{|\Delta B_k|}{\sqrt{\Delta t}} \geq \frac{2^{(k+\frac{3}{q-1})(q-\gamma)}}{2|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}}) \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\frac{2^{(k+\frac{3}{q-1})(q-\gamma)}}{2|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

We can take Δt small enough such that $\frac{2^{(k+\frac{3}{q-1})(q-\gamma)}}{2|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}} \geq 2$, so $x \leq \frac{x^2}{2}$ for $x \geq \frac{2^{(k+\frac{3}{q-1})(q-\gamma)}}{2|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}}$ and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P(|\Delta B_k| \geq \frac{1}{2|c|}2^{(k+\frac{3}{q-1})(q-\gamma)}) &\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\frac{2^{(k+\frac{3}{q-1})(q-\gamma)}}{2|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}}}^{\infty} e^{-x} dx \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\{-\frac{2^{(k+\frac{3}{q-1})(q-\gamma)}}{2|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\log(1-u) \geq -2u, \quad 0 < u < \frac{1}{2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log P(|X_k| \geq \frac{2^{k+\frac{3}{q-1}}}{(|b|\Delta t)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}, \forall 1 \leq k \leq K) &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log(1 - \exp(-\frac{2^{(k+\frac{3}{q-1})(q-\gamma)}}{2|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}})) \\ &\geq -2\sum_{k=1}^{K} \exp(-\frac{2^{(k+\frac{3}{q-1})(q-\gamma)}}{2|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, by using the fact that $r^x \geq r(1 \wedge \log r)x$ for any $x \geq 1, r > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \exp(-\frac{2^{(k+\frac{3}{q-1})(q-\gamma)}}{2|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \exp(-\frac{2^{\frac{3(q-\gamma)}{q-1}}}{2|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}}(2^{q-\gamma})^k) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \exp(-\frac{2^{\frac{3(q-\gamma)}{q-1}}}{2|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}}2^{q-\gamma}(1 \wedge \log 2^{q-\gamma})k) \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\Delta t}}}}{1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\Delta t}}}} \leq 2e^{-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\Delta t}}} \end{aligned}$$

for Δt small enough, where $\alpha := \frac{1}{2|c|}2^{\frac{(q+2)(q-\gamma)}{q-1}}(1 \wedge \log 2^{q-\gamma})$.

Hence

$$\log P(|X_k| \geq \frac{2^{k+\frac{3}{q-1}}}{(|b|\Delta t)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}, \forall 1 \leq k \leq K) \geq -4e^{-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\Delta t}}}.$$

We complete the proof. □

When $0 < q < 1, \frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma < 1, |b| < a$, we also have the divergence result of the EM approximation:

Lemma 5.2 *For any $\Delta t > 0$ small enough, if $|X_1| \geq r := 1 + \frac{a-|b|}{2}\Delta t$, then there exist $k_0 \geq 1$ (depending on Δt), A and $\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$\log P(|X_k| \geq r^k, \forall k \geq 1) \geq A - \frac{2e^{-k_0\alpha}}{1 - e^{-k_0\alpha}} > -\infty,$$

where A is finite, $\alpha := \frac{(a-|b|)\Delta t}{2|c|} r^{1-\gamma}(1 \wedge \log r^{1-\gamma})$.

Proof: First, we show that

$$|X_k| \geq r^k \text{ and } |\Delta B_k| \leq \frac{(r-1)r^{k(1-\gamma)}}{|c|} \Rightarrow |X_{k+1}| \geq r^{k+1}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
|X_{k+1}| &= |X_k|\Big|b|X_k|^{q-1}\Delta t + c \cdot \text{sgn}(X_k)|X_k|^{\gamma-1}\Delta B_k + 1 + a\Delta t\Big| \\
&\geq |X_k|\Big|1 + a\Delta t - |b||X_k|^{q-1}\Delta t - |c||X_k|^{\gamma-1}|\Delta B_k|\Big| \\
&\geq r^k(1 + a\Delta t - |b|\Delta t - |c|r^{k(\gamma-1)}\frac{(r-1)r^{k(1-\gamma)}}{|c|}) \\
&= r^k(1 + 2(r-1) - (r-1)) = r^{k+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, given that $|X_1| \geq r$, the event that $\{|X_k| \geq r^k, \forall k \geq 1\}$ contains the event that $\{|\Delta B_k| \leq \frac{(r-1)r^{k(1-\gamma)}}{|c|}, \forall k \geq 1\}$.

If $\frac{(r-1)r^{k(1-\gamma)}}{|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}} \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
P(|\Delta B_k| \geq \frac{(r-1)r^{k(1-\gamma)}}{|c|}) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\frac{(r-1)r^{k(1-\gamma)}}{|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\frac{(r-1)r^{k(1-\gamma)}}{|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}}}^{\infty} e^{-x} dx \\
&= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{(r-1)r^{k(1-\gamma)}}{|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}}).
\end{aligned}$$

We can choose k_0 be the smallest k such that $\frac{(r-1)r^{k(1-\gamma)}}{|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}} \geq 2$ (note that since $r > 1$, such k_0 always exists).

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \log(1-\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp(-\frac{(r-1)r^{k(1-\gamma)}}{|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}})) \\
&\geq -2\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \exp(-\frac{(r-1)r^{k(1-\gamma)}}{|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}}) \\
&\geq -2\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \exp(-k\times\frac{(r-1)r^{1-\gamma}(1\wedge\log r^{1-\gamma})}{|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}}) \\
&= -\frac{2e^{-k_0\alpha}}{1-e^{-k_0\alpha}} > -\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

So $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} P(|\Delta B_k| \leq \frac{(r-1)r^{k(1-\gamma)}}{|c|})$ is well defined and therefore

$$P(|X_k| \geq r^k, \forall\ k \geq 1) \geq \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} P(|\Delta B_k| \leq \frac{(r-1)r^{k(1-\gamma)}}{|c|}).$$

Then as in proof of Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\log P(|X_k| \geq r^k, \forall\ k \geq 1) \geq A - \frac{2e^{-k_0\alpha}}{1-e^{-k_0\alpha}} > -\infty,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \sum_{k=1}^{k_0-1} \log P(|\Delta B_k| \leq \frac{(r-1)r^{k(1-\gamma)}}{|c|}), \\
\alpha &= \frac{(r-1)r^{1-\gamma}(1\wedge\log r^{1-\gamma})}{|c|\sqrt{\Delta t}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof. □

Let us give an example to show that the θ-EM scheme ($\frac{1}{2} < \theta \leq 1$) is exponentially stable while EM scheme is not.

Example 1:

Consider the following one dimensional stochastic differential equation,

$$dX_t = (aX_t + b|X_t|^{2\gamma-2}X_t)dt + c|X_t|^{\gamma}dB_t, \tag{5.3}$$

where $\gamma > 1$ $a < 0$ and $2b + c^2 \leq 0$.

It is clear that both of the coefficients are locally Lipschitz continuous. Thus SDE (5.3) has a unique global solution.

By Lemma 5.1, since $2\gamma - 1 > \gamma > 1$, we know that when we choose the step size Δt small enough and the initial value X_1 suitably, the classical EM scheme is divergent with a positive probability. Now let us consider the exponential stability of θ-EM scheme.

The corresponding θ-EM scheme of (5.3) is

$$X_{k+1} = X_k + [(1-\theta)X_k(a + b|X_k|^{2\gamma-2}) + \theta X_{k+1}(a + b|X_{k+1}|^{2\gamma-2})]\Delta t + c|X_k|^{\gamma}\Delta B_k, \tag{5.4}$$

Notice that in our case $g(x) = c|x|^\gamma$ does not satisfy the linear growth condition. Therefore, the stability results in [6, 7, 16, 20] as well as [2] for the moment as well as almost sure exponential stability of the backward EM scheme case ($\theta = 1$) can not be used here.

On the other hand, since in this case $f(x) = ax + b|x|^{2\gamma-2}x, g(x) = c|x|^\gamma$, it is obvious that

$$2\langle x, f(x)\rangle + |g(x)|^2 = 2ax^2 + (2b + c^2)|x|^{2\gamma} \leq 2ax^2.$$

Since $a < 0$, then condition (1.6) holds for $C = -2a$. Moreover,

$$\langle x - y, f(x) - f(y)\rangle = a(x - y)^2 + b(x - y)(|x|^{2\gamma-2}x - |y|^{2\gamma-2}y).$$

Since

$$(x - y)(|x|^{2\gamma-2}x - |y|^{2\gamma-2}y) \geq 0$$

holds for $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows that

$$\langle x - y, f(x) - f(y)\rangle \leq a(x - y)^2.$$

We have used the fact that $b < 0$ here. Thus conditions (1.6) and (2.2) hold. By Theorem 4.1, we know that, for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, the θ-EM ($\frac{1}{2} < \theta \leq 1$) scheme (5.4) of the corresponding SDE (5.3) is mean square exponentially stable with with Lyapunov exponent no greater than $2a(1 - \varepsilon)$ and almost surely exponentially stable with Lyapunov exponent no greater than $a(1 - \varepsilon)$ if Δt is small enough.

For the polynomial stability, we consider the following example.

Example 2:

Now let us consider the following scalar stochastic differential equation,

$$dX_t = \frac{-(1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}|X_t|^{2\gamma-2}X_t - 2K_1X_t}{2(1+t)}dt + \sqrt{\frac{|X_t|^{2\gamma}}{(1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{C}{(1+t)^{K_1}}}dB_t, \tag{5.5}$$

where $C > 0$, $K_1 > 1$, $\gamma \geq 1$ are constants.

Since in this case

$$f(x,t) = \frac{-(1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}|x|^{2\gamma-2}x - 2K_1x}{2(1+t)}, \quad g(x,t) = \sqrt{\frac{|x|^{2\gamma}}{(1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{C}{(1+t)^{K_1}}},$$

It is clear that both of the coefficients are locally Lipschitz continuous. Moreover, it is easy to verify that

$$2\langle x, f(x,t)\rangle + |g(x,t)|^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-K_1} - K_1(1+t)^{-1}|x|^2,$$

and

$$\langle x - y, f(x,t) - f(y,t)\rangle \leq 0 \leq L|x - y|^2.$$

Thus conditions (1.5) and (2.2) hold. Therefore, SDE (5.5) has a unique global solution. If $\gamma > 1$, then by Theorem 3.1, for any $0 < \varepsilon < K_1 - 1$, the θ-EM ($\frac{1}{2} < \theta \leq 1$) solution of (5.5) satisfies the polynomial stability (with rate no great than $-(K_1 - 1 - \varepsilon)$) for Δt small enough. If $\gamma = 1$, it is obvious that f also satisfies the linear growth condition (1.7)

(condition (2.4) in [12] failed in this case), then by Theorem 3.3, the θ-EM ($0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{1}{2}$) solution of (5.5) satisfies the polynomial stability for Δt small enough. However, since the coefficient $g(x,t)$ is not bounded with respect to x, we can not apply Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.5 in [12] to get the polynomial stability of the classical EM scheme and back EM scheme respectively.

Acknowledgement: The second named author would like to thank Professor Chenggui Yuan for useful discussions and suggestions during his visit to Swansea University.

References

[1] Baker, C.T.H. and Buckwar, E., Exponential stability in p-th mean of solutions, and of convergent Euler-type solutions, of stochastic delay differential equations. J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2005,184(2):404-427.

[2] Chen, L. and Wu, F., Almost sure exponential stability of the θ-method for stochastic differential equations,Statistics and Probability Letters, 2012, 82:1669-1676.

[3] Gyöngy, I. and Krylov, N., Existence of strong solutions for Itô's stochastic equations via approximations. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, 1996, 105:143-158.

[4] Higham, D.J., A-stability and stochastic mean-square stability. BIT Numerical Mathematics, 2000, 40(2):404-409.

[5] Higham, D.J., Mean-square and asymptotic stability of the stochastic theta method. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 2001, 38(3):753-769.

[6] Higham, D.J., Mao, X. and Stuart, A.M., Exponential mean-square stability of numerical solutions to stochastic differential equations. LMS J. Comput. Math., 2003, 6:297-313.

[7] Higham, D., Mao, X., Yuan, C., Almost sure and moment exponential stability in the numerical simulation of stochastic differential equations. SIAM J. Number. Anal. 2007, 45(2):592-609.

[8] Ikeda, I. and Watanabe, S., Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981.

[9] Lan, G., Pathwise uniqueness and non-explosion of stochastic differential equations with non-Lipschitzian coefficients, Acta. Math. Sinica, Chinese series, 2009, 52(4):109-114.

[10] Lan, G. and Wu, J.-L., New sufficient conditions of existence, moment estimations and non confluence for SDEs with non-Lipschitzian coefficients, Stoch. Proc. Applic., 2014, 124, 4030-4049.

[11] Liu, K. and Chen, A, Moment decay rates of solutions of stochastic differential equations, Tohoku Math. J., 2001,53:81-93.

[12] Liu, W., Foondun, M and Mao, X., Mean Square Polynomial Stability of Numerical Solutions to a Class of Stochastic Differential Equations, arXiv:1404.6073v1.

[13] Mao, X., Stochastic differential equations and applicatons, 2nd edition, Horwood, Chichester, 2007.

[14] Mao, X. and Szpruch, L., Strong convergence and stability of implicit numerical methods for stochastic differential equations with non-globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2013, 238:14-28.

[15] Mao, X. and Szpruch, L, Strong convergence rates for backward Euler-Maruyama method for nonlinear dissipative-type stochastic differential equations with super-linear diffusion coefficients. Stochastics, 2013,85(1):144-171.

[16] Pang, S, Deng, F. and Mao, X., Almost sure and moment exponential stability of Euler-Maruyama discretizations for hybrid stochastic differential equations, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2008, 213:127-141.

[17] Rodkina, A. and Schurz, H., Almost sure asymptotic stability of drift-implicit θ-methods for bilinear ordinary stochastic differential equations in $\mathbb{R}^1$, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2005,180:13-31.

[18] Revuz, D. and Yor, M., Continuous martingales and Brownian motion, Grund. der Math. Wissenschaften, 293, Springer-Verlag, 1991.

[19] Szpruch, L., V-stable tamed Euler schemes, arXiv:1310.0785.

[20] Wu, F., Mao, X. and Szpruch, L., Almost sure exponential stability of numerical solutions for stochastic delay differential equations, Numer. Math., 2010, 115:681-697.

[21] Zeidler, E., Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications, Springer Verlag, 1985.

[22] Zong, X. and Wu, F., Choice of θ and mean-square exponential stability in the stochastic theta method of stochastic differential equations, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2014, 255:837-847.